

Mercury in CBPs -- EPRI View of Remaining Issues

George R. Offen
goffen@epri.com
650/855-8942
for
DOE Coordination Meeting
Pittsburgh, PA
March 19,2002



Overview

- Several studies, general agreement
- Disposal
 - Leaching or volatilization unlikely
 - Ash better documented than FGD solids
 - Questions on leaching test, detection limits
 - Questions about release due to microbial action
- Use
 - Re-release believed limited to hi-T applications
 - Potentially controlled
 - Are we certain about stability in large volume uses?
 - Many uses are low volume; priority for study?



Ash Use

- Concrete
 - Key impact = high activity carbon
 - Continued R&D on beneficiation
 - Need -- how much ACI into ESP?
 - Prudence suggests should demonstrate no release during curing
- Structural fills, soil stabilization, etc., all low T
- High temperature applications
 - Cement kilns -- adequately mitigated by particulate collection? Quantity used significant?
 - Hot asphalt -- bound into asphalt?
 - AAC -- released during autoclaving? Moot?



Ash Use -- Countering Carbon

- EPRI responding to impact of activated carbon
 - Passivation by O₃
 - Development of carbon-insensitive AEA
 - Considering advanced mechanical separation of carbon
 - Will try to evaluate performance of commercial carbon separation processes on activated carbon
- Ultimately will become trade-off between cost to beneficiate and acceptability of lower ∆Hg vs COHPAC investment



FGD Sludge Use

- Need:
 - Determine distribution of Hg to liquid, solid streams
 - Re-affirm stability of Hg in solids
 - Disposal or soil amendments
 - Test by-products from range of FGD processes
- Gypsum drying believed only possible rerelease pathway
 - -300-350°F
- EPRI sponsoring lab tests
 - May test commercial facility

